

TOP SECRET/COMINT/NOFORN NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE C. MEADE, MARYLAND 20785-6000

23 February 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD

THRU: Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight)

SUBJECT: (U//FOUO) Report to the Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA Activities - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U//FOUO) Except as previously reported to you or the President, or otherwise stated in the enclosure, we have no reason to believe that any intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the quarter ending 31 December 2008 were unlawful or contrary to Executive Order or Presidential Directive and thus should have been reported pursuant to Section 1.7.(d) of Executive Order 12333.

(U/FOUO) The Inspector General and the General Counsel continue to exercise oversight of Agency activities by inspections, surveys, training, review of directives and guidelines, and advice and counsel. These activities and other data requested by the Board or members of the stuff of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) are described in the enclosure.

GEORGE ELLARD

1/2011

VITO T. POTENZ/ General Counsel

(U//FOUO) I concur in the report of the Inspector General and the General Counsel and hereby make it our combined report.

KEIWH B. ALEXANDER Lieutenant General, U. S. Army Director, NSA/Chief, CSS

Encl:

Quarterly Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCI ASSIFIED//The Official Use Only" upon removal of enclosure(s)

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20320108

TOP SECRET!/COMINT//NOFORN

1. (U//FOUO) Intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-related activities that violate law, regulation, or policy substantiated during the quarter, as well as actions taken as a result of the violations.

(U)	Intel	ligence	Activities

_(TS//SI// REL T	OUSA, FVEY) Unintentional	collection against	United States	
,	quarter, there were instances			
	ently targeted or collected comm			
	oreign intelligence tasking. All i			
	uired by United States SIGINT D			
b) (3) P.L. 86-36		(00012)	(b) (1)	
(U) Unauthorized	1 Targeting		(b):(3)-P.L. 8	
(O) GINDANIONEON	a rangetting		(b) (3) -18 USC (b) (3) -50 USC	
_(TC//CH/NE) An	NSA analyst wrongly tasked a U	IS telephone number		. 3024(1)
	e assumed that the selector was f		Tor concenion on	
	nce target. This mistake was four		acked celectors on	
Toreign interriger	The selector was detasked		st was paired with a	
conjor analyst fo	r additional training. No collection			
semor anaryst to	r additional training. 100 confects	on resurted from this v	(b) (3)-P	.L. 86-36
(TS//SI//REL_TO	LICA EVEV	an NSA analys	t improperly searched	
	on NSA analysts to acquire			
	violation was found by a database		who provided	
• 1	ng to the analyst. The queries ret		which were purged from	
the NSA databas		umed results. V	which were purged nom	
(b) (1)	SE			
(b) (3) -P. L. T. 86-36NE)	an NSA		ì	
The Committee		drass of	in a guary list of targeted	
11	nistakenly included the e-mail add		in a query list of targeted	
results	analyst noticed his mistake the sa	me day, and me query	(b) (3) -P.L. 8	16-36
resuits			(b) (3) -50 USC	
(TS//SI//DEL TO	O-USA, FVEY) On two occasions	s callection accurred :		
1 1	ed States. In the first instance, de			1
were in the Office	ed States. In the first firstance, de		were not removed	
\\	All rel	ated collection was pur		
databases. The s	second instance occurred		s were deactivated	
databases, The	second instance occurred	Scice(o)	but collection	
occurred before	Idetasked the sel	ectors. The intercepts		
	s they were identified beginning f		No No	
	d from either violation.	.10111	1.2	
reporting resurte	d from either violation.		(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.	L. 86-36
-(TŚ//SI//NF)	NSA analysts le	earned of a	collection	
violation. Analy		records of		1
violation. Analy	sis believe mai	recolds c	occurred	I
	(b) (1)			
(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	(b) (3)-P.L. 86			
(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)	(b) (3) -18 USC (b) (3) -50 USC	Denver	d From: NSA/CSSM 1-52	
	(b) (3) -30 030	3024(I)	Dated: 20070108	
			Declassify On: 39480914	

Unfortunately, all related collection was purged from NSA databases in 2004.
The details of the violation are still being researched. The NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector
General (OIG) will track this action and report the results. (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(TS//SI//REL) On an NSA analyst learned that foreign targets were
the United States targeted e-mail selectors were detasked.
and collection was purged from an
NSA database. When confirming the detasking the analyst found that the
selectors had not been removed from The cause of the
problem was software-related. The selectors were removed
No collection resulted because had not been conducted from
(b) (1)
(U) Database Queries (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
(C//CI//DEL TOLICA EVEV) On Transplant NCA and total constructed many detaless quaries
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) On occasions, NSA analysts constructed poor database queries.
In of the occasions, collection resulted from the overly broad or incomplete queries. All resulting collection was deleted. The remaining incidents did not result in collection. No
reports were issued.
Topotto Moto toodoo.
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Additionally, on occasions, NSA analysts failed to verify that
targets were located outside the United States before conducting database queries. In of the
instances, the oversights resulted in collection.
All queries were terminated and when collection occurred, the data was deleted.
No reporting occurred. (b) (1)
(b) (3) -P. L. 86-36
(II) Detasking Delays (b) (3) -18 USC 798
(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
-(TS//SI//NF) A valid foreign target traveled to the United States and
before the target's selector was detasked. A detasking request was submitted
onthe
United States. The detasking did not occur until after the target returned
overseas. This violation was caused by an inefficient process for detasking. To lessen the
risk of future violations of this type, analysts are now required to
Collection associated with this violation was purged from NSA
databases
(b) (1)
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(d) (d)

	(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	
	(U) Destruction Delays	
	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Human error caused a day delay in deleting	
	from an NSA database. an NSA analyst submitted a purge request with	
	the intent of deleting collection from He mistakenly believed that the request would effect purging for was deleted from the	
	when the mistake was found.	
	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Unintentional dissemination of U.S. identities. There	
	were instances in which SIGINT analysts disseminated communications to, from. or about	
	U.S. persons while pursning foreign intelligence tasking this quarter. All data have been deleted	
	or destroyed as required by USSID SP0018. In of the instances, SIGINT products were cancelled because they contained the identities of U.S. persons, organizations, or entities. The	
	reports were either not reissued or were reissued with proper minimization. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 8 (b) (3) -18 USC	
-	(S//SI//NF) an NSA analyst included information from SIGINT about a	
	NSA although, within NSA, the s not part of the	
	SIGINT production chain. Additionally, the same imminimized and innevaluated traffic was	
	forwarded toassigned to NSA. The (b)(3)-P.I	86-36
(1)	was not authorized to receive imminimized and unevaluated SIGINT. In both instances, the disseminated data was destroyed	

-	(TS//SI//NF) Before obtaining U.S. identity release an NSA analyst and U.S. and U.S. to the	
	later that month.	
	when the analyst recognized the mistake, she directed destruction of the brief by the	
	as she applied for an identity release The had no record of the e-mailed briefing to destroy.	
	(b) (3)-P.L. 86	-36
	(S//SI//RELTO USA, FVEY) the name of a U.S. person was included	
	in e-mail tips to elements inside and outside the SIGINT Production Chain. The violation was recognized the same day. The e-mails were recalled and a destruction notification was	
	forwarded to all addressees.	
_	(TS//SI//REL-TO USA, FVEY) an NSA analyst included U.S.	
	person	
	The NSA analyst forwarded	
	the analyst noticed that he had not minimized the U.S. identifiers. He	
	directed and confirmed the destruction of the charts by	
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-18 USC 798 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024 (i	,
	(0) (3) -30 050 3024 (1	- 1

	(U) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA)
	(U) Business Records Order (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	(TS//SI//REL_TO USA, FVEY) On 28 October 2008, an NSA analyst accessed Business Record FISA (BRFISA) data for telephone selectors without authorization. The violation occurred because the analyst used an old version of the navigation software The analyst updated the navigation software on 9 December 2008, and other analysts were reminded to update their software. No data was retained, and no reports were issued.
	passed a mistyped phone number to an NSA analyst resulted in the targeting of an number in Business Records FISA data from 3 November 2008 until 5 January 2009. All related call chaining results purged on 5 January 2009, and on 6 January 2009. on 6 January 2009. on 6 January 2009. on 6 January 2009. (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024 (1)
•	(TS//SI//NF) On 15 December 2008, an NSA analyst improperly accessed BRFISA data for a U.S. telephone number. Although the number was associated with a foreign target, it had not been approved for call chaining in the BRFISA data. The analyst did not know that approval must be sought for BRFISA call chaining. No data was retained, and no reports w(**)(1) issued. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	(U// FOUO) Although the following violation occurred during the January through March 2009 reporting timeframe, the incident is significant enough to warrant early reporting.
	(TS//SI//NF) On 15 January 2009, the Department of Justice reported to the FISA Court (FISC) that NSA had been using an "alert list" to compare incoming BRFISA metadata against phone numbers associated with counterterrorism targets that NSA had tasked for SIGINT collection. Although the Agency had reported to the Court that the alert list consisted of numbers for which NSA had determined that a reasonable articulable suspicion (RAS) existed that the numbers were related to a terrorist organization associated with
	(U) Pen/Trap Order
	(U) Nothing to report.
	(U) The Protect America Act (PAA)
	(U) Nothing to report.

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

	(U) The FISA Amendments Act
	(S//SI//NF) A target selector was not detasked during a target's
	but the analyst detasking process inadvertently omitted the request as he processed Resulting collection was purged from the NSA databases. No reporting occurred (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (B) (3) -50 USC 3024(1)
	(TS//SI//REL TO USA. FVEY) During a tasking record review analysts learned that a targeted selector had been tasked under the wrong authority. This due diligence found that the analyst mistakenly selected FISA Amendments Act (FAA) Certification instead of the FAA Certification. No collection
	occurred between when the selectors were tasked and when the selector was detasked, and no reports were issued. (TS//SU/DEL TO USA EVEN): A target with U.S. and the bitigenesis was incorrectly tagled.
	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) A target with U.S. and citizenship was incorrectly tasked under the FAA Certification in violation of FAA section 702. A U.S. person may not be tasked pursuant to section 702 of the Act. The NSA analyst did not notice the target's dual citizenship when the e-mail selector was tasked. Resulting collection was purged from NSA databases A software
	processing error prevented the deletion of the data (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
	an FAA-authori zed target was active on an e-mail address Collection was purged from NSA databases on but a software processing error prevented the deletion of the data from The problem was isolated and the data was purged from the system administrators re-processed all purging requests dating back to
/	an FAA-tasked e-mail account selector associated with the United States. The selector was not detasked until
	occurred. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (TS//SI//REL TO USA: FVEY) NSA analysts learned that a target selector
	an NSA analyst requested detasking of the target's e-mail selector on
	The analyst submitted the detasking request, but failed to notify the detasking office and the need to bypass the standard detasking process. Resulting collection was purged from the NSA databases on No reporting-occurred.
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. (b)(3)-18 U (b)(3)-50 U	SC 798

 (d)	(1)																
(d)	(3)	-	P		L			8	6	-	3	6					
(5)	i	3	ì	_	5	ñ		11	Si	d		Ŕ	ñ	2	4	ŕ	4	١	

- (TS)	(SI//NE) an NSA analyst learned that an e-mail selector tasked for	
,	ction under the FAA Certificate did not meet the FAA tasking standards.	
The	selector was detasked As a result of this incident, the tasking	
proc	ess has been changed to reduce the risk of future mistakes. Applicable selectors are now	
(B) II-	No collection or reporting occurred.	
(b) (3)-P.L. 86=36	the state of the s	
	an NSA analyst detasked a telephone selector of a target	
activ	e in the United States, but failed to	
\ \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	The process failure was corrected on when the oversight	
was	identified. Collection was purged from NSA databases on	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
	(SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Human error resulted in collection of a target's communications	
\\ whil	e he was in the United States from	_
\\		
\\ +	Tt.	
\\	The second error occurred when a	
\\-	analyst	
j,	All related collection was purged from NSA databases.	7
\	(5)(1)	J
<u> </u>	(b) (3) -P. L (b) (3) -50	
_(TS	/CL//NEA	
(13)	Sinti j	7
		?
_(TS/	(SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Human error caused a day detasking delay, which resulted	
in co	llection while the target selector was active in the United States. The request to terminate	
the l	AA-authorized collection was submitted on but the selector was not	
deta	sked until The analyst left on and did not return until	
at w	nich time the selector was detasked, and the resulting collection was purged from a NSA	
data	No reporting occurred on the unauthorized collection.	
	(b) (1)	
(U)	Other (b) $(3) - P.L. = 86 - 36$ (b) $(3) - P.L.$	
	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-18 US (b) (3)-50 US	
(U)	Jnintentional Dissemination	
•	an NSA analyst e-mailed FISA-collected	
data		
	not included in the e-mail. The analyst, who misunderstood information sharing policy.	
conf	irmed the destruction of the data by the	

	TOP SEC	CRET//COMINT//NOFC	ORN-	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024
(TS//SI//NF)	NS	ctors were removed from A analysts did not anno	n tate that the selectors selectors	Tactive
(TS//SI//NF)	NS	e selectors were remove A analysts did not anno	d from tate that the selectors selectors remained	were I active
(U) Compute	er Network Exploitation			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(1
-(TS//SI//REL	. TO USA, FVEY)			1
				Usc 302
(TS//SI//NE)				1
,	-TO·USA, FVEY) ail address had been detasl		A analyst learned tha	
States,	rintelligence Activities	17	ecause the target was	s in the United
(U) Nothing	to report.	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i

(b) (1)			
(B) (3)-	P.L.	86-36	
THON	31-	50.11	90 302	4/13

	zed telephony collection and prevent violations,
NSA/CSS instituted a process to give analy	ysts greater and faster insight into a target's location.
	γεντίον-P.L. (
When collection occurred, it was purged fr	rom NSA databases. (b) (3) –50 uso
(TS//SI//NF)	
(F3//3///XF)	
NSA/CSS analysts found that e-mail sel	lectors
	this quarter. No collection occurred.
	(b) (1)
	iolations of E.O. 12555 and related directives.
	stabase access was not terminated when access was
· ·	ses were revoked. In another instance, a work
	ount in error. Accounts are only to be granted to
•	as not the case with the high school work study aining, and it was not activated before the account was
	ized the account was counseled on the importance of
understanding work roles before submitting	r individuals for database accounts (b) (1)
understanding work foles before submitting	(b) (3)-P.L. 80 (b) (3)-50 USC
(TS//SI//REL TO USA: FVEY)	
was inappropriately forwarded to	NSA
	olicy and guidance for dissemination of SIGINT
technical information and sent the data to the	he non-SIGINT analysts. The recipients destroyed the
)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36
<u></u>	1 (J1 1.11. VV JU
-(TS//SI//REL TO USA. FVEY)	de (NICANIet) account received with another CICINIT
	rk (NSANet) account password with another SIGINT The analyst mistakenly believed he could
analyst assigned to	The analyst mistakenly believed he could he analyst was relieved of his duties and transferred to
the	The analyst was refleved of his diffies and transferred to
-(TS//SI//REL_TO USA; FVEY)	the Officer-in-Charge (OIC) of a
`	SIGINT to all-source analysts outside the SIGINT
	U.S. person information. An analyst at Fort Gordon
•	called, and destruction of the data was confirmed by
telephone. The OIC completed refresher tr	
(TS//SI//REL_TO USA, FVEY	;
learned that a	analyst sought and

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
received NSAdatabase access through his former NSA/CSS
organization. The had determined that database access was not needed in the analyst's
job. After three unsuccessful attempts to gain database access through the normal request
process, the analyst contacted his former duty station and was sponsored for access on
database access was terminated Additionally, from
:found= (b)(1)
analyst and analysts sponsored for (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
database access by an organization not authorized to sponsor access within the
area of operational responsibility. Database access for the analysts was
terminated pending proper sponsorship.
(TS//SI//REL TO USA: FVEY) Last quarter NSA reported a problem with the
malfunctioned, and the
causing the retention of U.S. person information.
The NSA Office of General Counsel has provided retention guidance to the affected (h)(1)
organizations. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
2. (U// FOUO) NSA/CSS OIG Intelligence Oversight Inspections, Investigations,
and Special Studies.
(U// FOUO) During this quarter, the OIG reviewed various intelligence activities of the
NSA/CSS to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with applicable statutes.
Executive Orders, Attorney General procedures, and Department of Defense and internal
directives. With few exceptions, the problems uncovered were routine and showed that
operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities.
(U// FOUO) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U// FOUO) A joint inspection found that the would benefit from documented and
communicated processes. The focus of thentelligence oversight program has been
E.O. 12333 training, but not local incident handling procedures. Intelligence oversight training
for newcomers is at an 86 percent compliance rate, and 99 percent for annual E.O. 12333
refresher training. Although collectors, analysts, and supervisors are aware of the restrictions on
the collection, analysis, and dissemination of U.S. person information, local incident reporting
standards, and internal controls to track newcomer training and the use of sensitive NSA
databases are needed. The OlG will track corrective action through completion.
(U// FOUO) NSA Office of
(U// POUO) An inspection found that the intelligence oversight within NSA's Office of
is appropriately managed and compliant with standing regulations.
Le all trake ment and activities activities and activities activities activities and activities activities and activities acti

(U) Nothing to report.

(U// FOUO) Alleged Unauthorized Disclosure of Classified Information and Misuse of the United States SIGINT Service (USSS). (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) The NSA/CSS OIG reported alleged unauthorized disclosure of classified information and misuse of the USSS last quarter. a Navy Cryptologist met with an uncleared Navy Family Readiness social worker and disclosed that he had targeted his ex-wife and other family members through his job. An OIG inquiry found no evidence to support the sailor's claim.	
(U) Congressional, IOB, and DNI Notifications.	
(U) Nothing to report.	
3. (U) Substantive Changes to the NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Program.	
(U) Nothing to report.	
4. (U) Changes to NSA/CSS published directives or policies concerning intelligence, counterintelligence, or intelligence-related activities and the reason for the changes.	
(U) Nothing to report.	
5. (U) Procedures governing the activities of Department of Defense (DoD) intelligence components that affect U.S. persons (DoD Directive 5240.1-R, Procedure 15) Inquiries or Matters Related to Intelligence Oversight Programs.	